

From: Jacqui B
To: [Robin Proebsting](mailto:Robin.Proebsting)
Cc: jerm721@aol.com
Subject: Comments on the proposed development at 2825 WMW
Date: Wednesday, May 8, 2019 4:09:28 PM

Hello Robin,

As a property owner adjacent to this proposed development, I have a few questions/concerns regarding the project:

1. How will the project replace the vegetation slated for removal? I have concerns over the number of trees that will be removed, identified in the arborist report. Particularly alarming is the desire to remove an "exceptional" tree that the City has requested be retained.
2. I am **STRONGLY** against creating the proposed private tract road that will disrupt quiet 62nd. Increased traffic will cause disruption in our neighborhood. The existing street is quite narrow and will be unable to sustain increased traffic. There are currently two access points to this property- one off of West Mercer Way, the other off of 28th. Each of those streets provide sufficient access to the property and are capable of accommodating two way traffic.
3. The schematic in the ESNW report showing the 14 lots differs from the existing neighborhood. Cramming that many individual properties into one build site conflicts with the current character of our neighborhood.
4. Due to the hilly nature of the build site, what measures will be taken for the protection of property owners downslope of the proposed clearing and construction? What pro-active steps will be taken on the job site to reduce and control erosion?
5. What measures will be taken to protect property dwellers from the increased noise pollution for the duration of this proposed clearing & construction?
6. Where will heavy equipment/machinery be staged in our residential area? How will this staging affect accessibility for existing property owners/dwellers in the immediate vicinity?
7. Where will construction workers park? What measures will be taken to protect safety and accessibility for existing property owners/dwellers?
8. How does the project account for displaced parking within the neighborhood for the duration of the project?
9. What studies have been done on the structure built in 1912 to determine if there are hazardous/toxic materials? What measures will be taken to protect property dwellers in the immediate vicinity during the proposed demolition of that structure?
10. Why is the City not interested in preserving local history by saving the structure from 1912?
11. How will the project owners compensate the City for the increased strain on public resources (fire/health/police) by adding 14 new residences where there is currently 0?

12. There is an error in the City of Mercer Island checklist for the question- 12b. Recreation- This proposed project WILL displace existing recreational users. There is a sand volleyball court and gym that are both used on a regular basis. The report indicates that the proposed project will not displace any current recreational users. This answer is false.

13. Given the incorrect response in 12b., the answer to 12c. is unsatisfactory.

14. If 62nd needs to be widened under the proposed plan, will the street widening project encroach onto land of private property owners on the west side of the street. If so, how will those property owners be compensated and how will it impact the ability of those property owners to make structural changes (remodels/additions) in the future?

15. I respectfully request a copy of the decision once a decision has been made.

Thank you,
Jacqueline Balinbin